

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
09/145,982	09/03/98	HASE		T	684, 2728
		٦ [EXAMINER	
005514 MMC2/0327 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			_	NGUYEN	, , ,
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK NY				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TORK NI	10112			2851	
				DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application r pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarke

03/27/01

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/145,982

Hase

Examine

Nguyen, Hung Henry

Group Art Unit 2851



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amendment filed 2/26/2001	
XI This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal n in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respon application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of times 37 CFR 1.136(a).	d within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 1-7, 9, 11, 12, and 14-18	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
X Claim(s) 6, 7, 9, 14, and 16-18	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1-5, 11, 12, and 15	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims are	
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). rity documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 12, the limitation of claim 12 is merely a repetition of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 11-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishi et al (U.S.Pat. 5,883,704).

Application/Control Number: 09/145,982

Art Unit: 2851

As to claims 1-5, 11-12 and 15 Nishi et al (fig.1-2, and 47) disclose an exposure system comprising substantially all of the limitations of the instant claims such as: a laser light source having as short wavelength as "ArF excimer laser light" for illuminating the reticle (see col.52, line 58); a projection optical system for projecting an image formed on the reticle onto a substrate (see fig.47) and gas purging means for replacing the spaces (533, 535) containing the optical elements by nitrogen gas which "does not absorb the ArF excimer laser light and prevents the production of the impurity such as fog due to the laser energy" (see col.54, lines 25-29) and "it is not preferable that air including oxygen is used" (see col.51 lines 12-13). Nishi does not expressly discloses the illumination light source being "F2 excimer laser". However, Nishi's exposure apparatus can be used "in the case where the exposure illumination light is a laser beam emitted from the excimer laser light source and having a wavelength of 100-300nm" (see col.48, lines 36-38) and "the gas supplied to the spaces 533, 535 is not limited to the nitrogen gas, but various gases or liquids can be used in accordance with the kind of the illumination light". In response to the office action, applicant has amended the independent claims 1, and 15 that changes the "ultraviolet light" to "F2 excimer laser". Then, applicant argued that "Particularly, the F2 laser has an absorption band also with respect to water. This is because the wavelength thereof (157nm) is shorter than that (193nm) of the ArF excimer laser. Thus, when a F2 excimer laser is used as the exposure light, not only should the oxygen and ozone, but also, wafer should be desirably removed". This is not found to be persuasive because in view of Nishi's teachings, "the excimer laser light source having a wavelength of 100-300nm", it would have been obvious to one having

Application/Control Number: 09/145,982

Page 4

Art Unit: 2851

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select F2 excimer laser as the light source for illuminating the reticle as specified in claims 1-5, 11-12 and 15 of the instant application and the selection of any of these known excimer light source would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art (for instance, see instant specification page 17, lines 22-27). Finally, applicant argued "the Nishi et al patent, however, does not mention anything about the removal of water". The examiner would like to remind the applicant that it is the claims that define the claimed invention and it is claims, not specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable. (See constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices Inc., 7 USPQ2d 1064). The limitations on which the applicant relies "about the removal of water" are not stated in the claims. A reading of the claims provides no distinguish whatsoever between the claimed invention and the cited reference.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6-7, 9, 14, 16-18 are allowed.

Response to Applicant's Amendment

6. Applicant's amendment have been entered. Applicant's argument have been considered carefully but have been traversed in view of the new ground of rejection as set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 09/145,982

Art Unit: 2851

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this application or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Hung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-6462.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

hvn 3/25/2001

HUSSELL'ADAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	}	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/145,982	09/03/98	HASE	Т	684.2728	
-)05514	MMC2/0824			EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			NGUYE	EN, H	
			ART U	INIT PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK NY	10112		2851		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/00		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks